



**Melissa Thurman**

---

**From:** Robert Riechel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 9:00 AM  
**To:** Melissa Thurman  
**Subject:** Please post on web page under Council Agenda Item 6a - Storm Drain Fees

City Clerk Thurman:

Please post this on the City Council Comment Web page under:

6. CONDUCT OF BUSINESS

a. **Adopt** a Resolution Initiating Proceedings to Establish a Revised Storm Drainage and Flood Protection Fee.

Honorable Mayor Medina and Council Members:

Now is **NOT** the time to drastically raise the Storm Drain and Flood Protection Fees as is being proposed.

When we remodeled our home in 2001 the City of San Bruno **REQUIRED** us to collect all rain from our roof and pipe it to the street into the City's Storm Drain system. This increased the quantity of water needing to be moved through the old and undersized storm drain system.

**MY QUESTION: How many other San Bruno properties did the City require to meet this same rain water deposit into the storm drain system?**

YES, the storm drain system needs to be upgraded in size throughout San Bruno.

YES, the storm drain system needs to have breaks and flow restriction fixed.

BUT

The proposed millions of \$DOLLARS\$ being proposed to be paid for by San Bruno residents is **TO MUCH TO SOON** and for **TO LONG** of a time period.

The proposed increase in the actual rate increase for each residential property is **TOO MUCH**.

Please **POSTPONE** the beginning of any rate increase due to the current COVID-19 pandemic when many residents are either out of a job or working reduced hours.

Your proposal DOES NOT specify exactly which portions of the storm drain in specific street will be upgraded, increased in size allowing greater flow, and or have broken or restricted sections fixed.

**NO INCREASE for “To Be Determined At A Later Time” locations.**

When the CalTrain elevated station was being proposed and the underground storm drain pipes that needed to be re-routed or moved for the construction, I and others specifically pointed out to the City that some of these pipes were undersized to be able to move the water flow that had been falling. **The City at that time did not seem to be concerned.**

NOW many years later the City wants to play **catch-up** on collecting previously uncollected fees to fund needed upgrades.

**I say NOT NOW.**

Thank you

/s/ Robert Riechel

**Melissa Thurman**

---

**From:** Robert Riechel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 9, 2021 11:57 AM  
**To:** Melissa Thurman  
**Cc:** Riechel, Robert  
**Subject:** Council Agenda Item 7 - Comments for posting

City Clerk Thurman

Please post the following on the Council January 12 2021 Agenda page under comments?

January 12, 2021 Council Agenda Item 7 – Linda Mason reads:

“Request City Manager to: (1) Scheduled a **Closed Session** of the City Council to discuss the possibility of acquiring the El Crystal property from the San Bruno Park Unified School District, and (2) to assess the potential opportunity for lease of site for Park and Rec sub-station during the RAC construction.”

ALL Council initial discussions relating to the City negotiating with the current owner of the vacant El Crystal School site **MUST** be held during a properly noticed and **FACE TO FACE** meeting between the Council and interested San Bruno residents. Proper notice **CAN NOT** be given via a **ZOOM** announcement nor can the required meeting be held via **ZOOM**.

Residents need to be informed about the proposed Council meeting.  
Residents need to be able to participate **FACE TO FACE** with the Council members during this discussion. Many residents cannot connect via **ZOOM**.

**DO NOT HOLD this proposed meeting in Closed Session.**

/s/ Robert Riechel